Evaluation of Bus Operations with ETM and GPS Data

K.Gunasekaran, A.Kavi, P. Knoppers



Dr K. Gunasekaran Associate Professor, Division of Transportation Engineering, Anna University, Chennai 600025

Introduction

- Bus Transport is the most preferred Public Transport System in many cities.
- Recently the passenger patronage for bus transport is on the decline .
- Reasons reported are increased travel time, low reliability and low frequency of services in urban fringe areas.
- Regular monitoring of bus operation by the operators on daily and hourly basis in terms of duration, schedule adherence is essential, as these aspects have a greater influence on passenger ridership level.

Decline of Modal Share of Bus in Chennai



Source: MATS (1969)



Source: Short term study to update CTTS (1992-95)(CMDA, RITES & PTCS, 2004) 6 August 2012

Modal Split 1970 - 2004

Distribution of Person Trips by Mode - 1992



Source: CTTS (CMDA, RITES, KCL& PTCS, 1992-95)

A healthy and efficient public transit system is indispensable to reduce congestion, emissions, energy consumption, and car dependency in urban areas

Bus patronage drastically reduced from 41% to 29%,

Bus Transport Scenario in Chennai

The Metropolitan Transport Corporation operates the public transport buses in Chennai.

It transports nearly 5.7 million passengers every day with a fleet of 3421 buses covering a maximum distance of 50 km from city centre.





Bus Travel in Chennai





✤Maximum 73 persons can travel in a bus, but at present upto 85 to 125 peoples travel in city buses daily during peak hours

It is required to optimise bus routes and bus operations for improving ridership and effective utilisation of available buses.

Data collection by manual methods for optimisation is time consuming,
 ^{6 August 2012} and involves huge cost and manpower.

GPS is mounted in the bus with two basic functions

- I. To transfer the location about the bus to the server
- . To communicate with the driver



Server will receive the data for every 4 to 10 sec via GPRS

It is mounted at bus stop and give basic information about arrival of bus



Fleet managers collect the details and store as backend information

PASSENGER INFORMATION SYSTEM





- Route length is 32.6
 Kilometers
- Route is designated as 21G by MTC
- Average bus stop spacing within city 1km whereas in suburbs 3.75 km

Study Route

Methodology

- AVL is used as a Passenger Information System vehicle location
- Electronic Ticketing Machine (ETM) used for issuing tickets- travel pattern of the commuters.
- The inputs from AVL and ETM are integrated and analysed using TRITAPT software developed by Delft University.
- Punctuality deviation, loading profile, schedule adherence and trip duration of the buses were evaluated to understand the level of existing operations.

GPS Data

	TIME	SPEED	DISTANCE	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE
	25-dec-2011 12.00.01 am	0	0	12.932278	80.12055
	25-dec-2011 12.00.11 am	0	0	12.932274	80.12056
	25-dec-2011 12.00.21 am	0	0	12.932272	80.12056
	25-dec-2011 12.00.31 am	0	0	12.932269	80.12056
	25-dec-2011 12.00.41 am	1	0	12.93227	80.12057
	25-dec-2011 12.00.52 am	1	0	12.932266	80.12057
	25-dec-2011 12.01.02 am	1	0	12.932269	80.12057
	25-dec-2011 12.01.12 am	0	0	12.93228	80.12056
)	25-dec-2011 12.01.22 am	0	0	12.932285	80.12056
	25-dec-2011 12.01.33 am	0	0	12.932284	80.12055
	25-dec-2011 12.01.43 am	0	0	12.932284	80.12055
	25-dec-2011 12.01.53 am	1	0	12.932274	80.12056
Ļ	25-dec-2011 12.02.03 am	0	0	12.932265	80.120575
	25-dec-2011 12.02.13 am	0	0	12.9322605	80.12058
5	25-dec-2011 12.02.23 am	0	0	12.932257	80.12059
,	25-dec-2011 12.02.34 am	0	0	12.932252	80.12059
;	25-dec-2011 12.02.44 am	0	0	12.932247	80.1206
)	25-dec-2011 12.02.54 am	1	0	12.932244	80.1206
)	25-dec-2011 12.03.04 am	0	0	12.932241	80.1206
	25-dec-2011 12.03.14 am	0	0	12.9322405	80.1206
	25-dec-2011 12.03.24 am	0	0	12.9322405	80.12059
	25-dec-2011 12.03.35 am	0	0	12.9322405	80.12059
-	25-dec-2011 12.03.45 am	1	0	12.932239	80.12059
	25 de- 2011 12 02 55 em		0	10.00004	00 10050

ETM data

Driver	Fleet	Machine	Route	Trip	Ticket	Boarding	Alightin			Number of	Fare Per	Ticket			Total
No	Number	Number	Number	Number	Number	Stage Number	g Stage	From1	To1	Passengers	Passenger	Value	Date1	Time1	Amount
D14528	12444D AMD	50079868	Y21G	23	221110	17	3	TAMBARAM	CHEPAUK	1	19	19	25/12/2011	6:58:49	19
D14528	12444D AMD	50079868	Y21G	23	221111	17	10	TAMBARAM	GUINDY	1	13	13	25/12/2011	6:59:38	32
D14528	12444D AMD	50079868	Y21G	23	221112	17	4	TAMBARAM	Q.M.C	2	19	38	25/12/2011	6:59:51	70
D14528	12444D AMD	50079868	Y21G	23	221113	17	13	TAMBARAM	THIRUSULAM	4	11	44	25/12/2011	7:00:21	114
D14528	12444D AMD	50079868	Y21G	23	221114	17	8	TAMBARAM	KOTTURPURAM	1	15	15	25/12/2011	7:00:50	129
D14528	12444D AMD	50079868	Y21G	23	221115	17	6	TAMBARAM	MANDAVELI	1	. 17	17	25/12/2011	7:01:13	146
D14528	12444D AMD	50079868	Y21G	23	221116	17	8	TAMBARAM	KOTTURPURAM	1	15	15	25/12/2011	7:01:40	161
D14528	12444D AMD	50079868	Y21G	23	221117	15	5	CHROMEPET	V.M.STREET	1	. 17	17	25/12/2011	7:07:10	178
D14528	12444D AMD	50079868	Y21G	23	221118	15	8	CHROMEPET	KOTTURPURAM	1	13	13	25/12/2011	7:07:43	191
D14528	12444D AMD	50079868	Y21G	23	221119	15	6	CHROMEPET	MANDAVELI	1	15	15	25/12/2011	7:08:09	206
D14528	12444D AMD	50079868	Y21G	23	221120	14	8	PALLAVARAM	KOTTURPURAM	1	13	13	25/12/2011	7:11:56	219
D14528	12444D AMD	50079868	Y21G	23	221121	14	1	PALLAVARAM	HIGHCOURT	7	19	133	25/12/2011	7:12:32	352
D14528	12444D AMD	50079868	Y21G	23	221122	10	5	GUINDY	V.M.STREET	1	13	13	25/12/2011	7:26:32	365
D14528	12444D AMD	50079868	Y21G	23	221123	9	5	ENG.COLLEGE	V.M.STREET	1	. 11	11	25/12/2011	7:39:03	376
D14528	12444D AMD	50079868	Y21G	23	221124	6	4	MANDAVELI	Q.M.C	2	9	18	25/12/2011	7:47:15	394
D14528	12444D AMD	50079868	Y21G	23	221125	4	1	Q.M.C	HIGHCOURT	1	. 11	11	25/12/2011	7:59:31	405
D14528	12444D AMD	50079868	Y21G	12	221126	1	2	HIGHCOURT	SECRETARIT	1	7	7	25/12/2011	8:33:48	412
D14528	12444D AMD	50079868	Y21G	12	221127	1	5	HIGHCOURT	V.M.STREET	1	11	11	25/12/2011	8:34:33	423
D14528	12444D AMD	50079868	Y21G	12	221128	3	4	CHEPAUK	Q.M.C	1	7	7	25/12/2011	8:42:47	430
D14528	12444D AMD	50079868	Y21G	12	221129	3	15	CHEPAUK	CHROMEPET	2	17	34	25/12/2011	8:42:55	464
D14528	12444D AMD	50079868	Y21G	12	221130	4	10	Q.M.C	GUINDY	1	13	13	25/12/2011	8:47:19	477
D14528	12444D AMD	50079868	Y21G	12	221131	5	6	V.M.STREET	MANDAVELI	1	7	7	25/12/2011	8:49:03	484
D14528	12444D AMD	50079868	Y21G	12	221132	5	10	V.M.STREET	GUINDY	1	13	13	25/12/2011	8:50:07	497
D14528	12444D AMD	50079868	Y21G	12	221133	5	14	V.M.STREET	PALLAVARAM	1	15	15	25/12/2011	8:51:52	512
D14528	12444D AMD	50079868	Y21G	12	221134	5	10	V.M.STREET	GUINDY	1	13	13	25/12/2011	8:51:59	525

Punctuality Deviation





Tambaram to High Court

Punctuality Deviation is the time difference between scheduled time and the observed time of buses at the bus stops

The buses reached 15 minutes early in non-peak hours and 30 minutes later in peak hours

Passenger Loading Profile



- > The load profile -identify the sections having maximum passenger load.
- Review of length of operation and modification in number of buses required direction wise and time wise to carry the passengers.
- Deploying the required number of buses would enable passengers to travel comfortably without overcrowding and the operator to pull out the extra buses if any.

Passengers Carried Tripwise

Balanced unlinked passenger trips per vehicle trip (estimated mean 79.3)



Schedule Adherence



The trajectory plot of bus movements helps to verify that whether the buses run as per schedule and whether time headway between buses is maintained throughout the route.

Operational Speed Sectionwise

Operational speed (min, 15%, mean, 85% and max) Departure times Trips scheduled: 33 Company: Chennai Date: 2011/12/30 (Calc) From: HIGHCOURT 00:00 Mon Tue Wed Thu Fri Sat Sun Total 14 (42%) Line: Y21G From: Trips used: 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 Route: odd TAMBARAM Until: 30:00 Trips excluded: 0 (0%) Tor 80 Ψ Tritapt 1.2 (b1) license holder is Chennai University, India. Copyright @1997-2009 TU Delft 70 60 \mathbf{V} 50 [4/wx] 40 30 20 10 0 SE QM MT AD EN MO TH ĊН TA ĤI ĊН YM. MA КÖ GR οL PA. ТB Total stop

 Sectionwise speed helps to arrive the bus schedule accounting the variations in speed during peak and non peak hours on working days and holidays

Dwell time at Bus stops and Delay Sectionwise

Delays (mean, 85%, max)



Route Section Times for various time periods



Gross and net route section times, mean, 85% and max values

Conclusion

AVL and ETM are devices that provide valuable inputs for bus transit planning and optimization.

Optimisation of bus operations could be done effectively and quickly with the output obtained from VTS and ETM.

Real time monitoring of the fleet operations and fleet deployment by Transit managers could be performed with automatic data collection systems.

Acknowledgement

The Work is an output of the research study "Improving transit system performance through Automatic data collection systems" sponsored by MOUD supported Centre of Excellence in Urban Transport, IITM, Chennai. The authors are grateful to Metropoltan **Transport Corporation, Chennai for providing** the required data and to **Delft University**, **Netherlands** for providing the TRITAPT software for analysis and conversion of the AVL and ETM data.

Thank You